KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC.				INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)						
	No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. Telephone No. (049) 545-7166	Inhouse Detection Customer Claim								
Fax No. (049) 545-6302				Control No.: IRF-24-09-0060 Date Issued: 10-Sep-24						
Customer EPPI-IJP				Attention To N. Cepeda/W. Apalla						
Item Code	5161822-00		Depa	tment	KPLima Proc	luction				
Item Description LINUS FAL CISMEA; B				of Detection	09-Sep-24	09-Sep-24				
Job Order Number JO-65181				on Detected	INLINE QA M	4/NS				
	ILLUSTRATION OF THE PROBLEM					Minor				
			Lo	ot Quantity (pcs.)	Reject G	uantity (pcs.)	Reject Percentage			
-				197		12	6.09%			
		1	Nature of Defect:							
-			MISALIGN DIE CUT							
			ITEM SHOULD BE IN GOOD CONDITION; NO OCCURRENCE OF MISALIGN DIE CUT							
			Actua	l:						
				MISALIGN DIE CUT OCCUR ON LCLASS A PORTION (PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PICTURE)						
N	O. OF OCCURRENCE	DISPOSITION		AREA OF OC	CONTENT					
Fire	st	Hold		Slotter	Gluir	ıg	Material			
Recurrence Special Accep		Special Acceptance		EQOS	Verti	cal	Dimension			
No	.:	For Rework		Diecut	Appearance					
Da	te:	Reject / Disposal		Detaching	DI	E CUT	Process / Method			
	Issued by	Checked by	Approved by				Received by (Receiving Section)			
J. Macaraig G. Magsino				D. Ramos			N. Cepeda/W. Apalla			
	QA IE Staff QA Supervisor			QA Senior Supe	Head/ S	ead/ Supervisor/ Manager				
		I. INVESTIGAT	ION / A	NALYSIS						
DIR	ECT CAUSE: (Analyze the reas	on of occurrence, why it happened?)		INDIRECT CAUS	SE: (Analyze the	e reason of occurr	ence, why it leaked?)			
Why و	1:		Why 1:							
System / Training MM Mh	2:		Why 2:							
L/Why	3:	Why 3:								
Syste Mhy	4:	Why 4:								
Why 5:			Why 5:							
ဖ က	Why 1: Why 2: Why 3: Why 4:									
ill Why				Why 2:						
Design / Toolings Mhh Mhh				Why 3:						
Jesi Why				Why 4:						
	Why 5:			Why 5:						
	Why 1:			Why 1:						
			Why 2:							
Material Mhy	2:		Why 2:							
Mhy why			Why 2: Why 3:							
Material Mh	3:									

FINAL CONFLUSION OCCURRENCE ROOTCAUSE OUTFLOW ROOTCAUSE MMEDIATE ACTION: //down is one to one to one to make itempory senter the action to down to make itempory senter the action to the down is one to action to the down is one action to the down is one to action to the down is one action t	KANEPACKAGE PHILIPPINE INC. No. 5 Ring Road LISP II, Brgy. La Mesa, Calamba City, Laguna Telephone No. (049) 545-7166 to 69 Fax No. (049) 545-6302						INVESTIGATION REPORT FORM (IRF)						
IMMEDIATE ACTION: (xtors to is one is contain stripper generic the gratem hand) CORRECTIVE ACTION: (xtors to is does to ensue that height agent) A Spring Routh Actors to be done to eliminate recurrence Who / When RM I dot stock NG Total Stock NG YIP I I I I I B Orientation I I I I I Date Time I I I I CReading I I I I I Rework Parcentage (God) I I I I III. OR ACOTALUSE VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Dete Conducted Proc III. OR ACOTALUSE VERIFICATION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) I I III. OR ACOTALUSE VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) I I III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) I I III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>FINAL CO</th><th>NCLUSION</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>						FINAL CO	NCLUSION						
A. Sorting Result Actions to be done to eliminate recurrence Who / When RM Instance Instance Who / When RG Instance Instance System Instance FG Instance Instance System Instance Instance B. Onemation Instance		C	CCURRENCE	E ROOTCAUSE	E				OUTFLOW I	ROOTCAUSE			
A. Sorting Result Actions to be done to eliminate recurrence Who / When RM Instance Instance Who / When RG Instance Instance System Instance FG Instance Instance System Instance Instance B. Onemation Instance													
Location Total Stock NG Table Good RM	IMMEDIA	TE ACTION: (A	Action to be done	to contain/ tempora	ary correct the pro	blem found)	CORREC	TIVE ACTIO	N: (Actions to be dor	e to ensure that the	e problem will not h	nappen again)	
RM Image: Construction of Action Image: Construction of Action System Reserved Verification of Action Image: Construction of Action </td <td>A. Sorting Res</td> <td>ult</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Actions to</td> <td>be done to elimin</td> <td>ate recurrence</td> <td></td> <td>Who / When</td>	A. Sorting Res	ult		-				Actions to	be done to elimin	ate recurrence		Who / When	
WIP Image: Construction of Action System System B. Ordentation Date Image: Construction of Action Image: Construction of Action of Action Image: Construction of Action of Action Image: Construction of Action of Action of Action Image: Construction of Action of Action Image: Construction of Action Image: Construction of Action of Action		Loc	ation	Total Stock	NG	Total Good							
NUP Image: Content of the second	RM						System						
B. Orientation Date Trine Design / Tools Date Trine	WIP												
Date Time Design / Tools Title	FG												
Title	B. Orientation	1		1									
11.00 Attendees Image: Construction of the same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action Note: Into action and action is all not yet implemented. V.CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Choeed QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head	Date			Time									
C. Rework Guantity	Title						TOOIS						
Rework Quantity	Attendees												
Total Good Process Rework Percentage (Good) Date Conducted:PIC: Identified Rootcause Recommendation Identified Rootcause Recommendation ILI CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Date Conducted:PIC:	-												
Rework Percentage (Good) Identified out by QA In-charge) Date Conducted: PIC; Identified Rootcause Recommendation Identified Rootcause Identified Rootcause Identified Rootcause Identified Rootcause Identified Rootcause Identified Rootcause Ill. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Checked by Date Implemented? Remarks 1st Verification of Action 2nd Verification of Action [] Yes [] No		itity					Process						
II. QA ROOTCAUSE VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Date Conducted: PIC: Identified Rootcause Recommendation III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Recommendation III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Implemented? Remarks Remarks 1st Verification of Action [] Yes 2nd Verification of Action [] Yes Iffectiveness of Action [] Yes Iffectiveness of Action [] Yes Report full out of the affective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. V. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head													
Recommendation Identified Rootcause Recommendation III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Checked by Date Implemented? Remarks 1st Verification of Action [] Yes [] No 2nd Verification of Action [] Yes [] No 3rd Verification of Action [] Yes [] No Effectiveness of Action [] Yes [] No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented. Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head										-			
III. CORRECTIVE ACTION VERIFICATION (To be filled out by QA In-charge) Image: Interpretation of Action Date Implemented? Remarks 1st Verification of Action []Yes []No 2nd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No Effectiveness of Action []Yes []No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: OA Supervisor OA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head	II. QA R												
Checked by Date Implemented? Remarks 1st Verification of Action []Yes []No 2nd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No Effectiveness of Action []Yes []No Note: If no same defects / problems accurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented. Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. V. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed Status: QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head			Identined	Rooicause					Recom	nendation			
Checked by Date Implemented? Remarks 1st Verification of Action []Yes []No 2nd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No Effectiveness of Action []Yes []No Note: If no same defects / problems accurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented. Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. V. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed Status: QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head													
Checked by Date Implemented? Remarks 1st Verification of Action []Yes]No 2nd Verification of Action []Yes]No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes]No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes]No Effectiveness of Action []Yes]No Note: If no same defects / problems accurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. V. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed Status: QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head													
1st Verification of Action []Yes]No 2nd Verification of Action []Yes]No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes]No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes]No Effectiveness of Action []Yes]No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. V. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head				III. CORRE	ECTIVE ACTIO	ON VERIFICAT	ION (To be fil	led out by C	A In-charge)				
2nd Verification of Action []Yes []No 3rd Verification of Action []Yes []No Effectiveness of Action []Yes []No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. V. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head	Checked by			Date	Implen	ented? Remarks							
3rd Verification of Action []Yes]No Effectiveness of Action []Yes]No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed	1st Verificati	1st Verification of Action			[]Yes	[]No							
3rd Verification of Action []Yes]No Effectiveness of Action []Yes]No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed													
Effectiveness of Action [] Yes [] No Effectiveness of Action [] Yes [] No Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed QA Supervisor Still Open QA Asst. Manager					[]165	[]10							
Note: If no same defects / problems occurs for 5 consecutive deliveries, corrective action is considered effective / closed. If the same problem occurs within 5 consecutive deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head	3rd Verification of Action				[]Yes	[] No							
deliveries or 3rd verification of action still not yet implemented, Investigation Report shall be re-issued to the affected department to provide new improvement action. IV. CLOSURE Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed	Effectiveness of Action [] Yes					[] No							
Status: Remarks: Approved by: Process Owner Acknowledgment: (Receiving Section) Closed													
Closed Still Open QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head						IV. CL	OSURE						
Still Open QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head	Status: Remarks:					Approv	ed by:		Process Owr	ner Acknowledg	ment: (Receivi	ng Section)	
QA Supervisor QA Asst. Manager Line Leader Department Head	Closed												
	Still Open				QA Su	pervisor	QA Asst.	Manager	Line L	eader	Departm	ent Head	
	Re-Issue IRF							-					

LQA-006-F01 REV.00

١